

Kongress: 11th Int. Congress of Cardiothoracic and Vascular Anesthesia
Name: DACIANO GAONA
Abstract Nr.: 44
Kategorie: Coagulation
Vortragssprache: E
Vortragsart: P
Erstautor: DACIANO GAONA, HOSPITAL UNIVERSITARIO VIRGEN DE ARRIXACA, MURCIA
Coautoren: LUIS FALCON, HOSPITAL UNIVERSITARIO VIRGEN DE ARRIXACA, MURCIA
RUBEN JARA, HOSPITAL UNIVERSITARIO VIRGEN DE ARRIXACA, MURCIA
ANTONIO RIBO, HOSPITAL UNIVERSITARIO VIRGEN DE ARRIXACA, MURCIA
FRANCISCO ACOSTA, HOSPITAL UNIVERSITARIO VIRGEN DE ARRIXACA,
MURCIA
Abstracttitel: TRANEXAMIC OR APROTININ: A THROMBOELASTOGRAPHIC COMPARISON

PURPOSE

The efficiency of tranexamic and aprotinin, in patients undergoing cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB), are compared through the analysis of computerized thromboelastography (TEG) and platelets count (P.C.).

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Before skin incision a blood sample for PREOPERATIVE – TEG and P.C. is collected. Immediately after the haemostatic drug infusion is started and kept until skin closure. Ten minutes after the end of protamine infusion, a sample for POSTCPB-TEG and P.C. is collected.

The inclusion criteria were: age > 18 and < 80, no evidence of malignancy, creatinine clearance > 40 and absence of bleeding or thrombotic diathesis.

The exclusion criteria were, liver disease, intolerance to aprotinin, tranexamic or protamine, CPB time < 30 or > 240 minutes, aortic clamp time greater than 180 minutes and intravenous infusion of colloids, in the 5 days previous to the surgery.

After institutional approval, fifty two patients were enrolled and randomly assigned to receive either:

Aprotinin, following half Hammersmith regimen: (N=30) or

Tranexamic: 10 mg/Kg as a loading dose, 3 mg/Kg/Hour, maintenance dose, and 10 mg/Kg, added to the prime solution. (N=22).

TEGs (in-TEM and ex-TEM tests) were obtained with a ROTEM® Gamma thromboelastometer. Independent Student t test analysis was employed. Significance was set at p value < 0.05.

RESULTS

PREOPERATIVE – TEG, POSTCPB-TEGs and post CPB platelets count showed no statistically significant differences in both groups.

CONCLUSION

In our study, the analysis of thromboelastometry and platelet count, suggests that tranexamic is as effective as aprotinin.